

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vingnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/774,892 01/31/2001 Marvin Vincent Brewer CFLAY.00035 5993 22858 06/27/2003 7590 CARSTENS YEE & CAHOON, LLP **EXAMINER** P O BOX 802334 RIDLEY, RICHARD DALLAS, TX 75380 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3651

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No			3)
	•		0.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/774,892 Examiner	M	BREWER, MARVII	N VINCENT
	-			Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Richard Ridley	er sheet with the c	3651	drana
Period fo	л торіу				iress
- Exterent after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory minil apply and will expire	vever, may a reply be tim inimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from t	ely filed will be considered timely, the mailing date of this con	nmunication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J	une 2002 .			
2a) <u></u>		s action is non-f	īnal		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		ation.		
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
	on Papers	ootion roquii ciii	OH.	•	
9)∏ Т	he specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept	ed or b) object	ed to by the Exam	iner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)[] T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approve	ed b)⊡ disapprov	ed by the Examiner	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl				
12)∏ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🔲 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).	
	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		. , ,	. , , ,	
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been recei	ived.		
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			ı No.	
3	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of	y documents ha	ve been received	in this National St	age
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				anlication)
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provi	sional application	n has been recei	ved.	орпсацоп).
Attachment(s		•	50 5	· ·	
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	Interview Summary (P Notice of Informal Pat Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s). ent Application (PTO-1	52)
i. Patent and Trad TO-326 (Rev.		on Summary		Part of Paper No. 6	

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-18, drawn to a gate comprising a plurality of fingers, classified in class
 198, subclass 359.
 - II. Claims 19-23, drawn to crossfeeders conveying to bagmakers, classified in class198, subclass 457.01.
- III. Claims 24-34, drawn to a diverter gate, classified in class 198, subclass 463.4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions II & I and II & III are related as subcombination and combination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because inventions I & II show that the particulars of invention I (e.g., crossfeeding product to bagmakers) are not required for patentability. The subcombination has separate utility such as for use in a device used to conveyor articles.
- 3. Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant

Application/Control Number: 09/774,892

Art Unit: 3651

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention III shows that the particulars of invention I (e.g, the plurality of fingers of which the gate is comprised) are not required for patentability. The subcombination has separate utility such as for use in a device used to conveyor articles.

Election of Species

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - > Species I, as represented by figure 3A & 3B;
 - > Species II, as represented by figure 4A & 4B;
 - > Species III, as represented by figure 5.
- 6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims appear to be generic.
- 7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/774,892

Art Unit: 3651

8. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 9. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Ridley whose telephone number is (703) 306-5910. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 7:00 am 5:15 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on (703) 308-1113. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 308-0552 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Page 5

Richard Ridley June 25, 2003 Richard Ridley Examiner Art Unit 3651